26 ORDER - 2

A party can be found to prevail when there is a "material alteration of the legal relationships of the parties," and the material alteration is "judicially sanctioned." <u>Carbonell v. INS</u>, 429 F.3d 894, 898 (9th Cir. 2005). A material alteration of the legal relationships of the parties occurs when one of the parties is required to do something directly benefitting the other party that they would not otherwise have had to do. <u>Id</u>. at 900. "A party need not succeed on every claim in order to prevail. Rather, a plaintiff prevails if he 'has succeeded on any significant issue in litigation which achieved some of the benefit [he] sought in bringing suit." <u>Id</u>., n. 5. A litigant prevails for purposes of awarding EAJA fees without a judicial judgement as long as the action has sufficient "judicial imprimatur." Id. at 899.

The Supreme Court has defined the term "substantially justified" as "justified in substance or in the main-that is, justified to a degree that could satisfy a reasonable person." Pierce v.

<u>Underwood</u>, 487 U.S. 552, 565 (1988). To be substantially justified, the government must have "a reasonable basis both in fact and in law." <u>Id</u>. at 568. The Ninth Circuit has held that the government must be substantially justified during both the underlying agency action and the litigation itself. <u>Al-Harbi v. INS</u>, 284 F.3d 1080, 1084-85 (9th Cir. 2002). Thus, the government must meet this threshold twice - once with regard to the underlying agency action, and then with regard to its litigation position in the proceedings arising from that action. See, <u>Kali v. Bowen</u>, 854 F.2d 329, 332 (9th Cir. 1988).

The party seeking fees must submit "an itemized statement ... stating the actual time expended and the rate at which fees and other expenses were computed." 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(B). The appropriate number of hours includes all time "reasonably expended in pursuit of the ultimate result achieved" Hensley v. Eckerhart, 461 U.S. 424, 431 (1983). However, "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary" hours should be excluded from the fee award. Id. at 434. Although the fee applicant bears the burden of documenting the appropriate hours expended, "the party opposing the fee application has a burden of rebuttal that requires submission of evidence

Case 3:06-cv-05451-FDB Document 18 Filed 02/28/07 Page 3 of 3

1 to the district court challenging the accuracy and reasonableness of the hours charged or the facts 2 asserted by the prevailing party in its submitted affidavits." Gates v. Deukmejian, 987 F.2d 1392, 1397-98 (9th Cir. 1993). 3 4 By Order dated January 9, 2007 this action was reversed and remanded to the Social Security 5 Administration for further administrative proceedings. The Order is fully favorable to Plaintiff and materially advances his position. The Defendant's position was not substantially justified as defined 6 7 by the EAJA, and that there are no special circumstances that would make an award in this case 8 unjust. Counsel for plaintiff has filed his affidavit seeking attorney fees and costs totaling \$4,595.00, 9 based upon an expenditure of 28.3 hours of attorney's time at \$150.00 per hour, and \$350.00 in 10 costs. The government has not claimed that the requested fee is inappropriate. The Court finds the 11 requested fees and costs to resolve this matter to be reasonable. Plaintiff has provided sufficient itemized statements of how the time was distributed. 12 13 ACCORDINGLY, 14 IT IS ORDERED: 15 Plaintiff's motion for an award of costs and attorney's fees [Dkt. #16] is **GRANTED**. Costs in the amount of \$350.00 and attorney's fees in the amount of \$4,245.00 are awarded to Plaintiff. 17 DATED this 28th day of February, 2007. 18 19 20 21 22 23 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24

26 ORDER - 3

25